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Effect of pH and Temperature on photocatalytic oxidation of methyl orange using black sand as photocatalyst

ABSTRACT

Azo dyes are considered hazardous compounds for the environment and human health. Methyl orange is one type of azo dyes and it is widely used in textile, leather, and other chemical industries. The degradation of this compound is a challenge for traditional treatments. Advanced oxidation processes such as heterogeneous photocatalysis, sonolysis, radiolysis, etc., become an alternative for mineralizing organic compounds by producing a highly oxidant agent (OH•). Nowadays, a great number of research studies have tried to modify TiO₂ with metals in order to improve the degradation of hazardous pollutants such as azo dyes. This study used black sand as an alternative photocatalyst, evaluating the influence of pH (2, 5, 3 and 8) and temperature (20, 25, 30 and
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35°C) on the photocatalytic oxidation of methyl orange. Black sand was magnetically separated. The fraction that showed the best characteristics for dye degradation was used. Experimental results allowed establishing that methyl orange photocatalytic oxidation is best performed at pH 2 and 30°C, with a degradation percentage of 96.93%. The reaction follows a pseudo-first order kinetic. In addition, the kinetic coefficient found at different temperatures was correlated using Arrhenius equation in order to determine changes in the kinetic coefficient depending on the temperature. The equation pre-exponential coefficient was 374782115.1 and energy activation was -58,104.4 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Hazardous compounds such as azo dyes are known to be a major problem for the environment since their degradation process is not easily carried out by means of traditional treatments. Methyl orange (MO) is an azo dye considered as one of the main water pollutants (Figueroa, Vázquez & Alvarez-Gallegos, 2009; Hai, Yamamoto, Nakajima, & Fukushi, 2011; Martínez-Huitle & Brillas, 2009; Muda et al., 2010). Produced for textile, leather and pharmaceutical industries, the degradation of this compound can be easily performed by reduction at cathode because of its positive high redox potential (Feng et al., 2009).

Photocatalytic processes have emerged with several advantages for organic wastewater treatment due to the complete destruction or mineralization of pollutants to carbon dioxide and inorganic constitutes in water and gas phases (Chen, Tsai & Huang, 2005; Wang, Zheng, Xu & Li, 2011). The main photocatalyst used in these processes is TiO₂ due to its low price, availability, non-photo-corrosion, suitable band gap energy and chemical stability (Haarstrick, Kut & Elmar, 1996; Matos, Laine & Herrmann, 2001). Nevertheless, the use of this semiconductor has presented some limitations for these treatments, considering the amount of energy required when using uv lamps or having a doped semiconductor with metals, such as gold (Cheng et al., 2016; Oros-Ruiz et al., 2012).

Heterogeneous catalysis on mineral surfaces is part of advanced oxidation processes and constitutes an alternative to degrade polluting compounds of water sources (Schoonen, Xu & Strongin, 1998), considering that this process stimulates the mineral activation...
inside the visible spectrum of electromagnetic radiation and improves stability against photo-corrosion. The use of minerals as photocatalyst materials has been applied to the degradation of aromatic and phenolic compounds (Sonawane & Dongare, 2006) and oxalic acid (Iliev et al., 2006), among others, with relatively satisfactory results (Arshadi et al., 2016).

The use of the mineral conglomerate known in this study as black sand (bs) has not been a common practice in this type of studies. In contrast, this material has been widely used in applications in the field of metallurgy. Bs is composed by iron oxides, calcium, magnesium and silicon (Vargas & Forero, 2011), whose properties foster its use as a photocatalyst. A previous study showed that the magnetic separation of bs recovered from Colombian beaches (Santa Marta), named M1, M2, NM (Non-magnetic) and RM (raw material), was highly effective, showing higher discoloration percentages in MO compared to raw materials (Acosta, Ibatá & López, 2016). The most effective fraction was M2, which was exposed to a magnetic field of 0.1645 T. Therefore, pH influence in the solution is a variable to consider, since it affects dyes’ absorption capacity, absorbent surface and solubility. It is important to emphasize that the discoloration process of MO works better at acid pH, and decreases its efficacy at alkaline pH. This because the absorbent surface increases its positive charge with acid pH and MO molecules reach its maximum positive charge, favoring electrostatic absorption (Subbaiah & Kim, 2016).

The effectiveness and speed of every chemical reaction is directly influenced by temperature (Lien & Zhang, 2007). As an example, high temperatures can accelerate the speed of MO molecules, possibly leading to an increase in absorption efficiency. However, when temperature exceeds 65°C efficiency decreases as a result of a week intermolecular attraction of absorbent materials (Arshadi et al., 2016). Previous studies about MO degradation have shown that kinetic degradation of MO is described by a pseudo-first model (Lee et al., 2015; Li, Li, Li & Yin, 2006; Yan et al., 2016). In that sense, this study is carried out in order to find optimum conditions for the photocatalytic oxidation process of MO using BS as semiconductor and pH and temperature as process variables. In addition, we study the kinetic tendency of MO degradation at different temperatures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Material Black Sand

The material was separated in four fractions by magnetic fields in order to make the best use of its high iron content, as suggested in a previous study (Acosta, Ibatá & López, 2016). The fraction that was used (M2) in the experimental phase was magnetically separated by a magnetic field of 0.164T; this fraction was used because of its composition. M2 fraction was evaluated to identify its chemical (XRF), morphological (SEM micrographs) and structural (XRD) characterization. Additionally, an EDX analysis was carried out with the purpose of determining its elemental composition.

Photocatalytic oxidation of methyl orange

M2 fraction was evaluated through the photocatalytic oxidation of 150 mL of MO to 20 mg L\(^{-1}\) in aqueous suspension with a bs dosage of 1.0 g L\(^{-1}\). This reaction was carried out in an immersed well quartz photoreactor (Ace Glass Inc.) equipped with a cooling tube. Besides, 10 mmol of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) were added to improve the photocatalytic activity of the mineral (Gao, Yang, Hu & Zhang, 2004; He et al., 2015; Sahel et al., 2016). In order to study the effect of the initial pH solution, the value was adjusted by adding of HCl and/or NaOH solutions. For these case, were tested at pH 2.0, MO natural pH (5.8) and 8.0. The experiments were carried out at 20, 25, 30 and 35°C. Reactions were carried out during three hours under UV-Vis irradiation (\(\lambda=310\) nm) in magnetic stirring with 30 minutes of non-illumination, in order to reach adsorption-desorption equilibrium. MO samples were withdrawn from the reactor with a semiconductor, which was in turn removed from the liquid phase by thermo scientific centrifuge. Kinetic degradation of MO samples was evaluated by measuring MO signal disappearance every 30 minutes with a UV-Vis Shimadzu 2600 spectrophotometer. An evaluation of kinetic degradation for each reaction was performed using the coefficient of degradation as a way to determine the treatment with the highest speed reaction. The concentration of the substrate was measured at the beginning (\(C_0\)) and end of the treatment (\(C_t\)).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical characterization of M2 fraction

The results obtained through X-ray fluorescence analysis showed that the mineral presents a representative percentage of iron oxides such as Fe$_2$O$_3$ (50.313 %w/w), with a slight difference with the percentage of TiO$_2$ (37.027 %w/w) (G.A. Reyes Gomez, 2015). Figure 1 shows the results of X-ray energy dispersive analysis (VEGA3 TESCAN) for the elemental composition by weight percent of M2 fraction (used as semiconductor for photocatalytic experiments), which is mainly composed by iron (32.89%), titanium (26.74%), aluminium (1.18%) and oxygen (39.67%). The high amount of iron in the sample could be the main reason of the discoloration of MO, since iron could help doping TiO$_2$ naturally, improving its photocatalytic activity.

![Figure 1. EDX analysis of black sand (M2 fraction) used as semiconductor during MO photocatalytic oxidation.](image)

Source: Own elaboration.

Morphological and structural characterization of M2 fraction

In order to determinate the morphological structure of M2 fraction, we carried out an analysis with scanning electron microscopy (VEGA3 TESCAN), which showed that the mineral fraction is composed by irregular, rounded and semi-rounded grains (Figure 2).

X-ray diffraction analysis (X-ray powder diffractometer PANalytical X’Pert Pro PW 3064/60) with Cu-Kα radiation, was carried out to evaluate the crystalline phases of M2 fraction (Figure 3). This fraction was characterised for its content of iron oxides in the form of magnetite Fe$_3$O$_4$ (~ 99.0 %), showing a few amount of zircon, pyroxenes, altered grains and silicates (such as quartz), that might help improve the photocatalytic mechanism for MO photocatalytic oxidation.

MO photocatalytic oxidation

MO photocatalytic oxidation experiments were carried out with two process variables (pH and temperature), while the response variable was the removal percentage of MO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>5.3 (MO pH)</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Description of treatment conditions under different pH levels for MO photocatalytic oxidation.
Influence of pH

Table 2 shows the results of initial and final conditions of each experiment.

The absorption capacity of materials decreases when the pH of the solution increases, which means that the absorption process is possibly carried out with high effectiveness to acid pH, thus increasing the efficiency of the material (Subbaiah & Kim, 2016). Figure 4 (a-b) shown the uv-Vis spectra for the photocatalytic oxidation of MO as a time function. At the beginning of the reaction, the degradation showed a higher absorption capacity for lower pH values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Absorbance (u.a)</th>
<th>Discoloration percentage (%)</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.392</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>91.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.431</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>11.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own elaboration.
speed than at the end. Nonetheless, it is possible to state it is necessary to allow the reaction time up to 180 min (or even more) in order to obtain the highest possible discoloration of MO. On the contrary, when pH increases, the photocatalytic oxidation of MO is less effective (Figure 4c-4f). This means that the MO photocatalytic oxidation was favored at acid conditions, while at alkaline conditions, the process is governed by hydrolysis (Arshadi et al., 2016; Dagar & Narula, 2016).

Figure 4. UV-Vis spectra of MO as a function on time at pH 2.0 (a-b), pH 5.3 (c-d) and pH 8.0 (e-f).
Influence of temperature

Once the optimal pH condition for mo photocatalytic oxidation was determined, the effect of temperature of solution was tested. According to literature, this process is more efficient when temperature does not exceed 65°C. On the other hand, H2O2 is thermally decomposed into oxygen and water at higher temperature, eventually scavenging the production of reactive radicals (Herney-Ramirez, Vicente & Madeira, 2010) however, the reaction is less efficient when temperature is below 25°C (Arshadi et al., 2016).

Figure 5 shows the mo photocatalytic degradation as function of solution temperature. A slight discoloration percentage can be appreciate with the temperature increase however, this maximum can be obtained at less time reaction (Figure 5e-f)

About concentration, mo photocatalytic oxidation exhibits several profiles of discoloration, reaching maximum values at different temperatures promoting a reduction in the reaction time, decreasing the operational costs in the process, especially in energy consumption for irradiation.

Figure 5. UV-Vis spectra and MO concentration during MO photocatalytic oxidation as a function on time at several temperatures. (a, b). 20ºC (c, d) 25ºC (e, f). 30ºC (g, h) 35ºC.
Kinetic studies for MO photocatalytic oxidation at different temperatures

MO photocatalytic oxidation data were adjusted at pseudo-first order kinetic model. This goes in line with results of previous research studies on the subject (Lee et al., 2015; Matouq et al., 2014). The lineal correlation of the results was performed in order to calculate the kinetic coefficients $K_{app}$ of the reactions at different temperatures, according to Eq. 1 (Dagar & Narula, 2016).

\[
\frac{-dC}{dt} = K_{app} \ast C
\]

(1)

The linearized form (Eq. 2) produces

\[
\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = -K_{app} \ast t
\]

(2)

where $K_{app}$ is the slope of the graph, $y$ axis is the natural logarithm of the quotient between the final and initial concentration, and $x$ axis is the reaction time.

Figure 6 shows the linearized form of the kinetic data analysed. When temperature increased, the stable condition was reached in less time. The highest removal percentage was reached at 30°C. A slight decrease in the effectiveness of the reaction for MO degradation was observed at 35°C. As mentioned, the values used to construct the graphs were correlated to establish the value of the kinetic coefficient.
Table 3 presents the values of the coefficients calculated at different temperatures, which increases with temperature solution. Once kinetics coefficients and temperature were identified, we calculated the variation of the kinetic coefficient with the temperature using Svante Arrhenius equation (Dahm & L. Brezonik, 1995), whose linearized form is represented by Eq. 3.

\[
\ln(K) = \ln(A) - \frac{E_a}{R \cdot T}
\]  

where \(E_a\) is the activation energy (J mol\(^{-1}\)), \(A\) the pre-exponential factor of Arrhenius, \(R\) the gas constant (8.314472 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), and \(T\) is temperature (K). Figure 7 shown the linearization of (3) for the determination of kinetic constants.

**Table 3. Correlation of the values to determine kinetic coefficients of reactions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Kinetic coefficient (min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Own elaboration.*
The value obtained for the activation energy of MO degradation was $-58104.41 \text{J mol}^{-1}$. The pre exponential coefficient was $374782115.1 \text{ min}^{-1}$, with a statistical correlation coefficient of $R^2 0.998$. Finally, Eq. 4 allows calculating the kinetic coefficient as a function on temperature for MO photocatalytic oxidation

$$K_T = 374782115.1 \times e^{-58104.41 \text{ J mol}^{-1}} \times e^{8.314472 \text{ J mol}^{-1} K^{-1} \times \frac{1}{T}}$$

(4)

**CONCLUSIONS**

Results of the activation energy determined MO photocatalytic oxidation. It is concluded that BS could be used as an alternative semiconductor with better economic benefits for the degradation process of organic compounds, compared with the activation energy using TiO$_2$ as semiconductor (44.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$) (Arshadi et al., 2016). These results allow concluding that optimal conditions for higher removal efficiency of MO (96.93%) under UV irradiation are: 1.0 g L$^{-1}$ dosage of BS, pH 2 and 30°C, with a reaction time of 90 min. It is important to mention that at 35°C the reaction time was 60 min, with a slightly higher removal percentage (96.339%).
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